	F	OUTIN	G AND	RECOR	D SHEET
SUBJ	ECT: (Optianol)				
	Senior Interdepartmental	Group M	Meeting (on the L	aw of the Sea
FROM			•••	EXTENSION	NO.
Chief, Geography Division, OGI				DITE	
2G09 Headquarters					DATE 23 November 1981
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)		DATE RECEIVED FORWARDED		OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Drow a line acrass column ofter each comment.)
2.					
3.	D/NFAC 7E44 Headquarters				
4.					
5.	Chmn/NIC 7E62 Headquarters				
6.					
7.					
8.			,		
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					NSC Review Completed.
15.		- N			

FORM 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

NFAC_2182-81_

23 November 1981

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Chairman National T. (177)	
	Chairman, National Intelligence Council	
VIA:	Director, National Foreign Assessment Center Director of Global Issues	
FROM:	Chief, Geography Division, OGI	25X1
SUBJECT:	Senior Interdepartmental Group Meeting on the Law of the Sea	25X1
held in Room 110. The meeting, which of State for Sect LOS Memorandum for (IG) on LOS, are 2. Backgroup presents a basic remain in the Thi	Requested: Agency participation in a Senior Interdepart—G) meeting on the Law of the Sea (LOS) which is to be 7 at the Department of State on 24 November at 1500 hours. ch will be chaired by James L. Buckley, Undersecretary urity Assistance, Science and Technology, will review a cor the President. Hans Heymann, NIO-at-Large, and CIA's representative on the Interdepartmental Group available to answer any queries. Und: The attached LOS Memorandum for the President issue for Presidential decision—should the United States and United Nations Conference on LOS and seek changes in	25X1 25X1
withdraw from the Interdepartmental six months. This current and futur the non-seabeds i worth attaining, regime are contrathe current LOS nincluding our indureaty, the Interdict the current LOS not treaty, the Interdict that the current the current that the current the current that the current the current that	tion consistent with our LOS objectives or should it enegotiations? The memorandum relies heavily on an LOS Policy Review which has been underway for the last review evaluates the Draft LOS Convention in light of re US interests and objectives and, in short, finds that issues of the Convention are generally acceptable and but that the major elements of the deep seabed mining ary to US needs and must be renegotiated. Because of negotiating situation in which virtually all nations, dustrial allies, favor the finalizing of a comprehensive repartmental Group finds that two basic policy options wilable to the US:	
next session regime is un existing tex	withdraw from the LOS Conference prior to the (March 1982) because an acceptable deep seabeds attainable, and further negotiations on the twould reflect tacit acquiescence to the unreal-lic goals of the LDCs.	
improving the safeguarding	ontinue the negotiations with the goal of e deep seabeds provisions while simultaneously the in-place non-seabed provisions, particularly protect US military and commercial navigational	25 X 1
		25 X 1

SECKLI

3. Agency Positions: Since abrupt withdrawal from the Conference carries with it international political penalties, the risk of losing important navigational provisions, and negates our ability to improve the existing seabeds text, all the Departments, except Interior, favor Option 2continuing the negotiations. Interior thinks, however, that Option 2 is too loosely worded and gives our negotiators too much leeway to drag the negotiations on and on. Interior recommends instead a new Option 3 which requires our negotiators to obtain changes in the deep seabed text, and to definitely attain the five negotiating objectives on this issue. Further, that the US should forthrightly state its "bottom line" views at the March 1982 session of the LOS Conference and any intersessional meetings prior to that session.	25 X
The Department of Treasury favors Option 2, but recommends that the process for developing amendments and detailed negotiating positions be adjusted. Treasury proposes that new positions and amendments be reviewed, not only by the NSC, but by the Cabinet Council on Economic Affairs or the Cabinet Council on Natural Resources and the Environment (chaired by Treasury) to assure their consistency with US economic policy.	25 X °
4. Recommendations: The Agency has already concurred that the IG Memorandum to the President adequately reflects the conclusions of the LOS Policy Review and the policy choices available, and that they are broadly consistent with intelligence views on the issues.	25X′
Should you choose to indicate your preference as between Option 1 (withdrawal from the negotiations) and Option 2 (continuing to negotiate) you bear in mind that most foreign governments participating in the negotiations covet US accession to the Treaty and are willing to delay adoption of the Draft Convention. The chances for modestly improving the deep seabed provisions seem good. Should the final Treaty, when the negotiations are completed, still not be satisfactory to the US, the US could still withold ratification, but the Treaty would then be a somewhat better document for safeguarding overall US oceans interests.	25 X
As for Interior's negotiating approach, I recommend that we view them as too "confrontational" in this delicate negotiating setting. How the US orchestrates its proposals will have a strong impact on the chances for success and the implications of failure. Should the President approve Option 2, we must expect from our negotiating team a series of well thought out plans and tactics, for both the intersessional meetings and the March 1982 session of the Conference.	25 X °
I have no views as to the value of a Cabinet Council. as well as the NSC, reviewing the development of US LOS positions.	25 X ′
Attachment: As stated.	25X ²

SECRET

Revision of Treasury's Proposal

he the

Detailed instructions for achieving these objectives, including U.S. positions on and proposed amendments to specific treaty provisions, will be developed for review by the Senior Interagency Group in January. For the purposes of these reviews, as well as ongoing related Law of the Sea activities, the Interagency Group and the Senior Interagency Group will be comprised of the Departments of State (Chairman), Treasury, Defense, Justice, Interior, Commerce, Labor, **ILLEGIB** Transportation, and Energy, the Central Intelligence Agency, the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the Environmental Protection Agency, the National Science Foundation, NSC Staff, the Office of Policy Development, the Council on Economic Advisers and the Office of Management and Budget. Any agency differences will be forwarded by February 1 for decision by the President/. Cabinet level meetings on the subject should include the interested agencies listed above.

CONFIDENTIAL